

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ARC CONTROLS, INC.,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
	)	
v.	)	CASE NO. 1:19-cv-391-LG-RHW
	)	
	)	<i>Consolidated with:</i>
M/V NOR GOLIATH, <i>in rem</i> , and	)	CASE NO. 1:19-cv-395-HSO-JCG
GOLIATH OFFSHORE HOLDINGS	)	
PTE. LTD., <i>in personam</i>	)	
_____	)	

**ANSWER**

NOW COMES Defendant, M/V NOR GOLIATH, *in rem*, (“Defendant” or “Goliath”), and answers as follows the averments of the Complaint filed by Plaintiff-in-Intervention, Bishop Lifting Products, Inc. d/b/a Morgan City Rentals, Inc. and d/b/a Delta Rigging & Tools (“Bishop” or “Plaintiff”):

**First Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, Plaintiff’s claims are prescribed, perempted, subject to a statute of limitations or repose, or otherwise time-barred.

**Second Defense**

The allegations of the Complaint are vague and ambiguous, and moreover contain no allegations of fault, negligence, or other liability against Defendant.

**Third Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, the allegations of the Complaint fail to establish a cause of action against Defendant or otherwise state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

**Fourth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, the allegations of the Complaint fail to establish that Plaintiff has any right of action against Defendant or the procedural capacity to maintain a claim against Defendant.

**Fifth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, the venue is improper.

**Sixth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, the Court lacks *in personam* jurisdiction over Defendant.

**Seventh Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, Defendant objects to the sufficiency of citation, process, and/or service.

**Eighth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, to the extent the Plaintiff has suffered any damages, which is denied, said damages are the sole result of the Plaintiff's own fault and/or negligence, and/or in the alternative any recovery to which the Plaintiff may be entitled must be reduced in proportion to the Plaintiff's own contributory negligence.

**Ninth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, to the extent the Plaintiff has suffered any damages, which is denied, said damages are the result of persons or entities other than Defendant or over whom Defendant had no control and for whom Defendant bears no liability.

**Tenth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, to the extent the Plaintiff has

sustained any damages, which is denied, the Plaintiff has failed to mitigate said damages.

**Eleventh Defense**

As a separate and complete defense, and/or in the alternative, Defendant affirmatively shows that the Plaintiff sustained no damages as the result of any breach of legal duty owed by Defendant for which the Plaintiff may recover any amounts whatsoever.

**Twelfth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense and/or in the alternative, Defendant denies that the Plaintiff sustained damages; however, should it be found that the Plaintiff was damaged under the circumstances alleged, which is denied at all times, Defendant affirmatively show that such damage was caused solely and completely by an unavoidable accident for which Defendant cannot be held legally responsible.

**Thirteenth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense and/or in the alternative, Defendant denies that the Plaintiff sustained any damages; however, should it be found that the Plaintiff was injured and/or damaged as alleged, which is denied at all times, Defendant affirmatively show that any such damage was caused by an Act of God, or *force majeure*, for which Defendant cannot be held legally responsible.

**Fourteenth Defense**

As a separate and complete defense and/or in the alternative, Defendant denies that the Plaintiff sustained any damages and demand full proof of any damages Plaintiff avers were actually incurred.

**Fifteenth Defense**

Defendant denies any alleged acts, omissions, or unseaworthy conditions, but to the extent

such may be proven, Defendant denies that same were within the privity and/or knowledge of Defendant, and avers entitlement to the full protection of the Shipowners Limitation of Liability Act, 46 U.S.C. §§ 30501 *et seq.*, including but not limited to the right to have the United States District Court sitting in admiralty assess the Defendant's liability, privity and/or knowledge *vel non*, and the right to have its liability, if any, limited to the value of the M/V NOR GOLIATH and her pending freight after the voyage.

#### **Sixteenth Defense**

Defendant claims entitlement to defense, indemnity, coverage as an additional or named insured, contribution, set off, or any other such available remedy with respect to any party or non-party from whom Defendant may be owed such relief.

#### **Seventeenth Defense**

Defendant pleads each and every matter deemed an affirmative defense under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including but not limited to all matters set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(c) and 12(b).

#### **Eighteenth Defense**

Defendant pleads each and every matter deemed a compulsory counter-claim, including but not limited to reimbursement of any costs and/or damages incurred by the Defendant in connection with the alleged transaction or occurrence out of which the Plaintiff's claims arise.

#### **Nineteenth Defense**

In the further alternative, Defendant affirmatively shows that the Plaintiff fails to make a *prima facie* case.

#### **Twentieth Defense**

Defendant pleads the affirmative defense of accord and satisfaction arising from the

agreed settlement of this matter between Bishop and Goliath, wherein Bishop agreed to dismiss its claim in consideration for the removal of its alleged equipment aboard the vessel.

**Twenty-First Defense**

In the further alternative, and subject to the exceptive allegations and affirmative defenses set forth herein, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth in the Complaint, except as the same may be hereinafter admitted or modified. In further responding to the said allegations, Defendant avers as follows:

1.

The allegations of Article 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint call for a legal conclusion and require no response from the Defendant.

2.

The allegations of Article 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of sufficient information to justify a belief therein.

3.

The allegations of Article 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied except to admit that the M/V NOR GOLIATH is a foreign-flag vessel that is currently within this Judicial District.

4.

The allegations of Article 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

5.

The allegations of Article 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

6.

The allegations of Article 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

7.

The allegations of Article 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

8.

The allegations of Article 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

9.

The allegations of Article 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

10.

The allegations of Article 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied except to admit that the GOLIATH was arrested in this District.

11.

The allegations of Article 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

12.

The allegations of Article 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

13.

The allegations of Article 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of

information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

14.

The allegations of Article 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

15.

The allegations of Article 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

16.

The allegations of Article 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

17.

The allegations of Article 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

18.

The allegations of Article 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

19.

The allegations of Article 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

20.

The allegations of Article 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

21.

The allegations of Article 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

22.

The allegations of Article 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

23.

The allegations of Article 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

24.

The allegations of Article 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

25.

The allegations of Article 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

26.

The allegations of Article 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

27.

The allegations of Article 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

28.

The allegations of Article 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of



information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

29.

The allegations of Article 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

30.

The allegations of Article 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

31.

The allegations of Article 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

32.

The allegations of Article 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

33.

The allegations of Article 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

34.

The allegations of Article 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

35.

The allegations of Article 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

36.

The allegations of Article 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied and/or denied for lack of information sufficient to justify a belief therein.

37.

The allegations of the "PRAYER" Article, its subparts, and all other unnumbered Articles of Plaintiff's Complaint are denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that this Answer be deemed good and sufficient and that, after due proceedings are had, Defendant, M/V NOR GOLIATH, *in rem*, has the Court enter judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint at their cost and for reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs expended in defending the action and for all other just and equitable relief as this Court deems proper. Alternatively, Defendant prays that the Third Party Defendant, Epic Companies, LLC, be tendered to Bishop Lifting Products, Inc. pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 14(c), and be found liable and cast in Judgment for any and all recoverable damages and/or costs in any way associated with this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted:

s/James G. Wyly, III

James G. Wyly, III, MS Bar 7415

Phelps Dunbar LLP

2602 13th Street, Suite 300

Gulfport, Mississippi 39501

info@phelps.com

Telephone: (228) 679-1130

Facsimile: (228) 679-1131

E-Mail: jim.wyly@phelps.com

-and-

Miles P. Clements, T.A. (4184)

Joseph E. Lee III (26968)

Zachary J. Ardoin (37575)

Phelps Dunbar LLP

Canal Place

365 Canal Street, Suite 2000

New Orleans, Louisiana 70130

Telephone: (504) 566-1311

Facsimile: (504) 568-9130

E-Mail: miles.clements@phelps.com

josh.lee@phelps.com

Counsel for Defendant,

M/V NOR GOLIATH, *in rem*,

**Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on the 20th day of December, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court by using the CM/ECF system, that service was accomplished through the Notice of Electronic Filing on Filing Users, and that service was accomplished on any party or counsel who is not a Filing User by other means in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court.

s/James G. Wyly, III